arXiv:1408.1790v2 [math.PR] 10 Nov 2014

A game-theoretic proof of Erdős-Feller-Kolmogorov-Petrowsky law of the iterated logarithm for fair-coin tossing

Takeyuki Sasai*, Kenshi Miyabe† and Akimichi Takemura*

November, 2014

Abstract

We give a game-theoretic proof of the celebrated Erdős-Feller-Kolmogorov-Petrowsky law of the iterated logarithm for fair-coin tossing. Our proof, based on Bayesian strategy, is explicit as many other game-theoretic proofs of the laws in probability theory.

Keywords and phrases: Bayesian strategy, constant-proportion betting strategy, lower class, upper class.

1 Introduction

Let $x_n = \pm 1,\ n = 1, 2, \ldots,$ be independent symmetric Bernoulli random variables with $P(x_n = -1) = P(x_n = 1) = 1/2$. Let $S_n = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n$. Concerning the behavior of S_n, the celebrated Erdős-Feller-Kolmogorov-Petrowsky law of the iterated logarithm (EFKP-LIL [17, Chapter 5.2]) states the following:

$$P(S_n \geq \sqrt{n}\psi(n) \ \ i.o.) = 0 \text{ or } 1 \quad \text{according as} \quad \int_1^\infty \psi(\lambda) e^{-\psi(\lambda)^2/2} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} < \infty \text{ or } = \infty, \qquad (1)$$

where ψ is a positive non-decreasing continuous function defined on $[1, \infty)$. The set of functions ψ such that $P(S_n \geq \sqrt{n}\psi(n) \ \ i.o.) = 0$ is called the *upper class* and the set of functions ψ such that $P(S_n \geq \sqrt{n}\psi(n) \ \ i.o.) = 1$ is called the *lower class* [17, pp.33-34].

As the name indicates, this is an extension of the LIL. The first one who showed this result seems to be Kolmogorov, which has been stated in Lévy's book [13] without a proof. Erdős [4] has given a complete proof, which has been generalized by Feller [5, 6] (see also Bai [1]). Petrowsky [16] has proved the statement for Brownian motion (see also Itô and McKean [8, Section 1.8 and 4.12] and Knight [10, Section 5.4]). Further developments can be seen in the

*Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, University of Tokyo
†School of Science and Technology, Meiji University

literature such as similar statements for self-normalized sums [7, 3], for weighted sums [2] and for Brownian motion [9].

In order to state a game-theoretic version of EFKP-LIL, consider the following fair-coin game with the initial capital $\alpha > 0$.

FAIR-COIN GAME
Players: Skeptic, Reality
Protocol:
$\mathcal{K}_0 := \alpha$.
FOR $n = 1, 2, \ldots$:
Skeptic announces $M_n \in \mathbb{R}$.
Reality announces $x_n \in \{-1, 1\}$.
$\mathcal{K}_n := \mathcal{K}_{n-1} + M_n x_n$.
Collateral Duties: Skeptic must keep $\mathcal{K}_n$ non-negative. Reality must keep $\mathcal{K}_n$ from tending to infinity.

Usually α is taken to be 1, but in Section 3 we use $\alpha \neq 1$ for notational simplicity. Let

$$I(\psi) = \int_1^\infty \psi(\lambda) e^{-\psi(\lambda)^2/2} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}. \tag{2}$$

The goal of this paper is to prove the game-theoretic statement of EFKP-LIL in the following form.

Theorem 1.1. *Let ψ be a positive non-decreasing continuous function defined on $[1, \infty)$. In the fair-coin game,*

$$I(\psi) < \infty \;\Rightarrow\; \textit{Skeptic can force } S_n < \sqrt{n}\psi(n) \;\; a.a. \tag{3}$$

$$I(\psi) = \infty \;\Rightarrow\; \textit{Skeptic can force } S_n \geq \sqrt{n}\psi(n) \;\; i.o. \tag{4}$$

The first statement is the *validity* and the second statement is the *sharpness* of EFKP-LIL. For terminology and notions of game-theoretic probability see [18]. As shown in Chapter 8 of [18], game-theoretic statement of EFKP-LIL in (3) and (4) implies the measure-theoretic statement in (1). We use the same line of arguments of the proof of LIL in Chapter 5 of Shafer and Vovk [18], but we use some Bayesian strategies as ingredients. Our proof shows that the proof by Shafer and Vovk can be adapted to prove some stronger forms of LIL.

In Section 2 we give a proof of the validity and in Section 3 we give a proof of the sharpness. We discuss some topics for further research in Section 4.

We use the following notation throughout the paper

$$\ln_k n = \underbrace{\ln \ln \ldots \ln}_{k\text{times}} n.$$

2 Validity

As is often seen in the upper-lower class theory (see Feller [6, Lemma 1]), we can restrict our attention to ψ such that

$$\psi^L(n) \le \psi(n) \le \psi^U(n) \text{ for all sufficiently large } n, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\psi^L(n) = \sqrt{2\ln_2 n + 3\ln_3 n}, \quad \psi^U(n) = \sqrt{2\ln_2 n + 4\ln_3 n}.$$

Here L means the lower class and U means the upper class. It can be verified that $I(\psi^U) < \infty$ and $I(\psi^L) = \infty$. We discretize the integral in (2) as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(k)}{k} e^{-\psi(k)^2/2} < \infty. \tag{6}$$

Since $xe^{-x^2/2}$ is decreasing for $x \ge 1$, the function $\lambda \mapsto \frac{\psi(\lambda)}{\lambda} e^{-\psi(\lambda)^2/2}$ is decreasing for λ such that $\psi(\lambda) \ge 1$ and convergences of the integral in (2) and the sum in (6) are equivalent.

2.1 Constant-proportion betting strategy

Our proof highly depends on constant-proportion betting strategy (and its mixture). Here we give basic properties.

We fix a small positive δ for the rest of this paper, e.g., $\delta = 0.01$.

A constant-proportion betting strategy with the parameter γ sets

$$M_n = \gamma \mathcal{K}_{n-1}$$

for a constant $\gamma \in (-1, 1)$. For the rest of this paper we assume $0 \le \gamma \le \delta$. The capital process with this strategy is denoted by $\mathcal{K}_n^\gamma$. Note that $\mathcal{K}_n^\gamma$ is always positive. With the initial capital of $\mathcal{K}_0^\gamma = \alpha$, the value $\mathcal{K}_n^\gamma$ can be evaluated as

$$\mathcal{K}_n^\gamma = \alpha \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \gamma x_i) = \alpha \left(\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}\right)^{S_n/2} (1-\gamma^2)^{n/2}. \tag{7}$$

Note that $\mathcal{K}_n^\gamma$ is determined (except n and γ) by S_n and is monotone increasing in S_n. In particular, by (7), we have

$$S_n \le 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{K}_n^\gamma \le \alpha. \tag{8}$$

By the fact that

$$t - \frac{1}{2}t^2 - |t|^3 \le \ln(1+t) \le t - \frac{1}{2}t^2 + |t|^3$$

for $|t| \le \delta$, taking the logarithm of $\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + \gamma x_i)$ we have

$$\gamma S_n - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 n - \gamma^3 n \le \ln(\mathcal{K}_n^\gamma/\alpha) \le \gamma S_n - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 n + \gamma^3 n$$

and

$$e^{-\gamma^3 n} e^{\gamma S_n - \gamma^2 n/2} \le \mathcal{K}_n^\gamma/\alpha \le e^{\gamma^3 n} e^{\gamma S_n - \gamma^2 n/2}. \tag{9}$$

For the proof of validity, we only use the lower bound in (9).

2.2 Proof of validity

In this section we let $\alpha = 1$. For notational simplicity we write $\psi_k = \psi(k)$. The convergence of the infinite series in (6) implies the existence of a non-decreasing sequence of positive reals a_k diverging to infinity ($a_k \uparrow \infty$), such that the series multiplied term by term by a_k is still convergent:

$$Z := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \frac{\psi_k}{k} e^{-\psi_k^2/2} < \infty. \tag{10}$$

This is easily seen by dividing the infinite series into blocks of sums less than or equal to $1/2^k$ and multiplying the k-th block by k (see also [14, Lemma 4.15]).

For $k \geq 1$ let

$$p_k = \frac{1}{Z} a_k \frac{\psi_k}{k} e^{-\psi_k^2/2}$$

and consider the capital process of a countable mixture of constant-proportion strategies

$$\mathcal{K}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k \mathcal{K}_n^{\gamma_k}, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma_k = \frac{\psi_k}{\sqrt{k}}. \tag{11}$$

Obviously $\mathcal{K}_n$ is never negative. By the upper bound in (5), as $k \to \infty$ we have

$$\gamma_k \leq \sqrt{\frac{2\ln_2 k + 4\ln_3 k}{k}} \to 0.$$

Hence $\gamma_k < \delta$ for sufficiently large k.

We now confirm that $\limsup_n \mathcal{K}_n = \infty$ if $S_n \geq \sqrt{n}\psi_n$ infinitely often. By (9) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z\mathcal{K}_n &\geq Z \sum_{k:\gamma_k<\delta} p_k \exp(\gamma_k S_n - \frac{\gamma_k^2 n}{2} - \gamma_k^3 n) \\ &= \sum_{k:\gamma_k<\delta} a_k \frac{\psi_k}{k} \exp(-\frac{\psi_k^2}{2} + \gamma_k S_n - \frac{\gamma_k^2 n}{2} - \gamma_k^3 n). \end{aligned}$$

We consider n and k such that $S_n \geq \sqrt{n}\psi_n$, $\gamma_k < \delta$, $\lfloor n - n/\psi_n \rfloor \leq k \leq n$ and $\psi_n/(\psi_n - 1) \leq 1 + \delta/2$. By (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\psi_k^2}{2} + \gamma_k S_n - \frac{\gamma_k^2 n}{2} &\geq -\frac{\psi_k^2}{2} + \sqrt{n}\psi_n \frac{\psi_k}{\sqrt{k}} - \frac{\psi_k^2}{k}\frac{n}{2} \\ &= \psi_k \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \frac{n}{k}\right)\psi_k + \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}}\psi_n \right) \\ &\geq -\frac{\psi_n^2}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} - 1\right)^2 \geq -\frac{\psi_n^2}{2}\left(\frac{n}{k} - 1\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\psi_n}{\psi_n - 1}\right)^2 \geq -\frac{1}{2} - \delta.$$

For sufficiently large n, we have

$$\psi_n \leq \psi^U(n) < \psi^U(2k) = \sqrt{2\ln_2(2k) + 4\ln_3(2k)} < 2\sqrt{2\ln_2 k + 3\ln_3 k} = 2\psi^L(k) \leq 2\psi_k.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Z\mathcal{K}_n &\geq \sum_{k=\lfloor n - n/\psi_n \rfloor}^{n} a_k \frac{\psi_k}{k} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} - \delta - \gamma_k^3 n) \\ &\geq a_{\lfloor n-n/\psi_n \rfloor} \frac{\psi_n}{2n} \sum_{k=\lfloor n-n/\psi_n \rfloor}^{n} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} - \delta - \gamma_n^3 n) \\ &\geq a_{\lfloor n-n/\psi_n \rfloor} \frac{\psi_n}{2n}\left(\frac{n}{\psi_n} - 1\right)\exp(-\frac{1}{2} - \delta - \gamma_n^3 n) \\ &= a_{\lfloor n-n/\psi_n \rfloor}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\psi_n}{2n}\right)\exp(-\frac{1}{2} - \delta - \gamma_n^3 n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{\lfloor n-n/\psi_n \rfloor} \to \infty$, $\psi_n/n \to 0$ and $\gamma_n^3 n \to 0$, we have shown

$$S_n \geq \sqrt{n}\psi_n \ i.o. \ \Rightarrow \ \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{K}_n = \infty.$$

3 Sharpness

In this section we prove the sharpness (4) of EFKP-LIL in game-theoretic probability, following the approach in Chapter 5 of [18] and [15]. We divide our proof into several subsections. For notational simplicity we use the initial capital of $\alpha = 1 - 2/e = (e-2)/e$ in this section.

3.1 Change of time scale

The first key of our proof is a change of time scale from λ to k:

$$\lambda = e^{5k\ln k} = k^{5k}.$$

Remark 3.1. "5" in $5k\ln k$ is not essential and we use this time scale for simplicity.

By taking the derivative of $\ln\lambda = 5k\ln k$, we have

$$\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = 5(\ln k + 1)dk.$$

Hence the integrability condition is written as

$$\int_1^\infty \psi(\lambda)e^{-\psi(\lambda)^2/2}\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} = \infty \ \Leftrightarrow \ \int_1^\infty (\ln k)\psi(e^{5k\ln k})e^{-\psi(e^{5k\ln k})^2/2}dk = \infty.$$

Let $f(x) = \psi(e^{5x\ln x})e^{-\psi(e^{5x\ln x})^2/2}$. Since $xe^{-x^2/2}$ is decreasing for $x \geq 1$, the function $f(x)$ is decreasing for x such that $\psi(e^{5x\ln x}) \geq 1$. Thus, for sufficiently large k and x such that $k \leq x \leq k+1$, we have

$$\ln x f(x) \geq \ln k f(x+1) \geq \frac{1}{2}\ln(k+1) f(k+1),$$
$$\ln x f(x) \leq \ln(k+1) f(x) \leq 2\ln k f(k).$$

Hence, we have

$$\int_1^\infty (\ln k)\psi(e^{5k\ln k})e^{-\psi(e^{5k\ln k})^2/2}dk = \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty (\ln k)\psi(e^{5k\ln k})e^{-\psi(e^{5k\ln k})^2/2} = \infty.$$

Then, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty (\ln k)\psi(e^{5k\ln k})e^{-\psi(e^{5k\ln k})^2/2} = \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Skeptic can force } S_n > \sqrt{n}\psi(n) \text{ } i.o.$$

Recall that we can assume (5) here again.

3.2 Bounding relevant capital processes

In this section we introduce mixtures of constant-proportion betting strategies and bound their capital processes. We discuss relevant capital processes in further subsections.

3.2.1 Uniform mixture of constant-proportion betting strategies

We consider a continuous uniform mixture of constant-proportion strategies with the betting proportion $u\gamma$, $2/e \leq u \leq 1$. This is a Bayesian strategy, a similar one to which has been considered in [11].

Define

$$\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma = \int_{2/e}^1 \prod_{i=1}^n (1+u\gamma x_i)du, \qquad \mathcal{L}_0^\gamma = \alpha = 1 - e/2.$$

At round n this strategy bets $M_n = \int_{2/e}^1 u\gamma \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1+u\gamma x_i)du$. Then by induction on n, the capital process is indeed written as

$$\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma = \mathcal{L}_{n-1}^\gamma + M_n x_n = \int_{2/e}^1 \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1+u\gamma x_i)du + x_n \int_{2/e}^1 u\gamma \prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1+u\gamma x_i)du$$
$$= \int_{2/e}^1 \prod_{i=1}^n (1+u\gamma x_i)du.$$

Applying (9) and noting $u^3\gamma^3 \leq \gamma^3$, we have

$$e^{-\gamma^3 n}\int_{2/e}^1 e^{u\gamma S_n - u^2\gamma^2 n/2}du \leq \mathcal{L}_n^\gamma \leq e^{\gamma^3 n}\int_{2/e}^1 e^{u\gamma S_n - u^2\gamma^2 n/2}du.$$

We further bound the integral in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2.

$$\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma \le \begin{cases} \min\left\{1, e^{\gamma^3 n}\dfrac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}\right\} & \text{if} \quad S_n \le 0, \qquad (12)\\ e^{\gamma^3 n} e^{2\gamma(S_n/e - \gamma n/e^2)} & \text{if} \quad 0 < S_n \le 2\gamma n/e, \qquad (13)\\ e^{\gamma^3 n}\min\left\{e^{S_n^2/(2n)}\dfrac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}, e^{\gamma S_n/2}\right\} & \text{if} \quad 2\gamma n/e < S_n < \gamma n, \qquad (14)\\ e^{\gamma^3 n}\min\left\{e^{S_n^2/(2n)}\dfrac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}, e^{\gamma S_n - \gamma^2 n/2}\right\} & \text{if} \quad S_n \ge \gamma n. \qquad (15)\end{cases}$$

Proof. Completing the square we have

$$-\frac{1}{2}u^2\gamma^2 n + u\gamma S_n = -\frac{\gamma^2 n}{2}\left(u - \frac{S_n}{\gamma n}\right)^2 + \frac{S_n^2}{2n}. \qquad (16)$$

Hence by the change of variables

$$v = \gamma\sqrt{n}\left(u - \frac{S_n}{\gamma n}\right), \qquad du = \frac{dv}{\gamma\sqrt{n}},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{2/e}^1 e^{u\gamma S_n - u^2\gamma^2 n/2}du &= e^{S_n^2/(2n)}\int_{2/e}^1 \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma^2 n}{2}\left(u - \frac{S_n}{\gamma n}\right)^2\right)du\\ &= e^{S_n^2/(2n)}\frac{1}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}\int_{2\gamma\sqrt{n}/e - S_n/\sqrt{n}}^{\gamma\sqrt{n} - S_n/\sqrt{n}} e^{-v^2/2}dv.\end{aligned}$$

Then for all cases we can bound $\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma$ from above as

$$\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma \le e^{\gamma^3 n + S_n^2/(2n)}\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}. \qquad (17)$$

Without change of variables, we can also bound the integral $\int_{2/e}^1 g(u)du$, $g(u) = e^{u\gamma S_n - u^2\gamma^2 n/2}$, directly as

$$\int_{2/e}^1 g(u)du \le \max_{2/e\le u\le 1} g(u).$$

Note that

$$g(2/e) = e^{2\gamma(S_n/e - \gamma n/e^2)}, \quad g(1) = e^{\gamma S_n - \gamma^2 n/2}. \qquad (18)$$

We now consider the four cases.

Case 1 $S_n \le 0$. In this case

$$\int_{2/e}^1 e^{u\gamma S_n - u^2\gamma^2 n/2}du \le \int_{2/e}^1 e^{-u^2\gamma^2 n/2}du \le \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}.$$

Also by (8), $\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma \le 1 - 2/e \le 1$ if $S_n \le 0$. This gives (12).

Case 2 $0 < S_n \le 2\gamma n/e$. In this case $S_n/(\gamma n) \le 2/e$ and by the unimodality of $g(u)$ we have $\max_{2/e \le u \le 1} g(u) = g(2/e)$. Hence (13) follows from (18).

Case 3 $2\gamma n/e < S_n < \gamma n$. In this case $\max_{2/e \le u \le 1} g(u) = g(S_n/(\gamma n)) = e^{S_n^2/(2n)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma \le e^{\gamma^3 n} e^{S_n^2/(2n)}$. Furthermore in this case $S_n^2 < \gamma n S_n$ implies $S_n^2/(2n) < \gamma S_n/2$ and we also have

$$\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma \le e^{\gamma^3 n} e^{\gamma S_n/2}. \tag{19}$$

By (17) and (19), we have (14).

Case 4 $S_n \ge \gamma n$. Then $S_n/(\gamma n) \ge 1$ and $\max_{2/e \le u \le 1} g(u) = g(1)$. Hence

$$\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma \le e^{\gamma^3 n} e^{\gamma S_n - \gamma^2 n/2}. \tag{20}$$

By (17) and (20), we have (15).

□

3.2.2 Buying a process and selling a process

Next we consider the following capital process.

$$\mathcal{Q}_n^\gamma = 2\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma - \mathcal{K}_n^{\gamma e}.$$

This capital process consists of buying two units of $\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma$ and selling one unit of $\mathcal{K}_n^{\gamma e}$. This combination of selling and buying is essential in the game-theoretic proof of the law of the iterated logarithm in Chapter 5 of [18] and [15]. However, unlike Chapter 5 of [18] and [15], where combination of *three* processes is used, we only combine *two* capital processes.

We want to bound $\mathcal{Q}_n^\gamma$ from above.

Lemma 3.3. *Let*

$$C_1 = 2e^{\gamma^3 n} \exp\left(\frac{(2e-1)(\gamma^3(1+e^3)n + \ln 2)}{(e-1)^2}\right). \tag{21}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{Q}_n^\gamma \le \begin{cases} 2\min\left\{1, e^{\gamma^3 n}\dfrac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}\right\} & \text{if} \quad S_n \le 0, & (22)\\ C_1 & \text{if} \quad 0 < S_n \le \gamma n/e, & (23)\\ 2e^{\gamma^3 n}\min\left\{e^{S_n^2/(2n)}\dfrac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}, e^{\gamma S_n}\right\} & \text{if} \quad \gamma n/e < S_n < e\gamma n, & (24)\\ C_1 & \text{if} \quad S_n \ge e\gamma n. & (25) \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.4. In this lemma, C_1 depends on γ and n through $\gamma^3 n$. However from Section 3.3 on, we take $\gamma^3 n$ to be sufficiently small. Hence C_1 can be taken to be a constant (cf. (40)) not depending on γ and n. Also note that the interval for S_n in (24) is larger than the interval in (14).

Proof. We bound $Q_n^\gamma = 2\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma - \mathcal{K}_n^{\gamma e}$ from above in the following four cases:

$$\text{(i) } S_n \le 0, \quad \text{(ii) } 0 < S_n \le \gamma n/e, \quad \text{(iii) } \gamma n/e < S_n < e\gamma n, \quad \text{(iv) } S_n \ge e\gamma n,$$

Case (i) By $Q_n^\gamma \le 2\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma$, (22) follows from (12).

Case (ii) In this case $S_n/e - \gamma n/e^2 \le 0$. Hence (23) follows from (13) and $Q_n^\gamma \le 2\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma$.

Case (iii) We again use $Q_n^\gamma \le 2\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma$. If $\gamma n/e < S_n \le 2\gamma n/e$, then

$$\frac{S_n}{e} - \frac{\gamma n}{e^2} \le \frac{\gamma n}{e^2} \le \frac{S_n}{e}$$

and $\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma \le e^{\gamma^3 n} e^{2\gamma S_n/e} \le e^{\gamma^3 n} e^{\gamma S_n}$ from (13). Otherwise (24) follows from (14) and (15).

Case (iv) Since $S_n \ge en\gamma > n\gamma$, by (20) we have $\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma \le e^{\gamma^3 n} e^{\gamma S_n - \gamma^2 n/2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n^\gamma &\le 2\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma - \mathcal{K}_n^{\gamma e} \le 2e^{\gamma^3 n} e^{\gamma S_n - \gamma^2 n/2} - e^{-\gamma^3 e^3 n} e^{\gamma e S_n - \gamma^2 e^2 n/2} \\ &= 2e^{\gamma^3 n} e^{\gamma S_n - \gamma^2 n/2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\gamma^3(1+e^3)n} e^{\gamma(e-1)S_n - (e^2-1)\gamma^2 n/2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if the right-hand side is non-positive we have $Q_n^\gamma \le 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &S_n \ge en\gamma \ \text{ and } \ -\gamma^3(1+e^3)n - \ln 2 + \gamma(e-1)S_n - \frac{1}{2}(e^2-1)\gamma^2 n \ge 0 \\ &\quad \Rightarrow \ \ Q_n^\gamma \le 0. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Otherwise, write $A = \gamma^3(1+e^3)n + \ln 2$ and consider the case

$$\gamma(e-1)S_n - \frac{1}{2}(e^2-1)\gamma^2 n \le A.$$

Dividing this by $e-1$ and also considering $S_n \ge en\gamma$, we have

$$\gamma S_n - \frac{1}{2}(e+1)\gamma^2 n \le \frac{A}{e-1}, \tag{27}$$

$$-S_n + en\gamma \le 0. \tag{28}$$

$\gamma \times (28) + (27)$ gives

$$\frac{1}{2}(e-1)\gamma^2 n \le \frac{A}{e-1} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 n \le \frac{A}{(e-1)^2}.$$

Then by (27)

$$\gamma S_n - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2 n \le \frac{A}{e-1} + \frac{e}{2}\gamma^2 n \le \frac{A}{e-1} + \frac{eA}{(e-1)^2} = \frac{(2e-1)A}{(e-1)^2}.$$

Hence just using $Q_n^\gamma \le 2\mathcal{L}_n^\gamma$ and (20) in this case, we obtain

$$Q_n^\gamma \le 2e^{\gamma^3 n} \exp\left(\frac{(2e-1)(\gamma^3(1+e^3)n + \ln 2)}{(e-1)^2}\right) = C_1. \tag{29}$$

This also covers (26) and we have (29) for the whole case (iv).

□

3.2.3 Further continuous mixture of processes

We finally introduce another continuous mixture of capital processes. Define a capital process

$$\mathcal{M}_n^{\gamma,k} = \frac{1}{\ln k}\int_0^{\ln k} Q_n^{\gamma e^{-w}} dw = \frac{1}{\ln k}\int_0^{\ln k} (2\mathcal{L}_n^{\gamma e^{-w}} - \mathcal{K}_n^{\gamma e^{-w+1}}) dw. \tag{30}$$

Under the same notation as in Lemma 3.3, if $S_n > 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_n^{\gamma,k} \le C_1 + \frac{2}{\ln k}\max_{\gamma' \in [\gamma k^{-1}, \gamma]} \left(2e^{\gamma'^3 n} \min\{ e^{S_n^2/(2n)} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma'\sqrt{n}}, e^{\gamma' S_n} \} \right), \tag{31}$$

because the length of the interval

$$\left\{ w \mid \frac{S_n}{ne} < \gamma e^{-w} < \frac{S_n e}{n} \right\}$$

is equal to 2.

If $S_n \le 0$, since $\max_{0\le w\le \ln k} e^{\gamma^3 e^{-3w} n}/e^{-w} \le e^{\gamma^3 n} k$, integrating (22), we have

$$\mathcal{M}_n^{\gamma,k} \le \frac{2}{\ln k}\int_0^{\ln k} \min\left\{ 1, e^{\gamma^3 e^{-3w} n} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma e^{-w}\sqrt{n}} \right\} dw \le 2\min\left\{ 1, \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}} e^{\gamma^3 n} k \right\}. \tag{32}$$

Also note that $\int_0^{\ln k} \mathcal{L}_n^{\gamma e^{-w}} dw$ is bounded by the double integral

$$\int_0^{\ln k} \mathcal{L}_n^{\gamma e^{-w}} dw \le e^{\gamma^3 n} \int_0^{\ln k} \int_{2/e}^1 e^{u e^{-w} \gamma S_n - u^2 e^{-2w} \gamma^2 n/2} du\, dw. \tag{33}$$

3.3 Dynamic strategy forcing the sharpness

Write

$$n_k = e^{5k\ln k} = k^{5k}, \quad \psi_k = \psi(n_k).$$

Note that ψ_k here is different from ψ_k in Section 2. As in Chapter 5 of [18] and [15], we divide the time axis into "cycles" $[n_k, n_{k+1}]$, $k \ge 1$. Betting strategy for the k-th cycle is based on the following betting proportion:

$$\gamma_k = \frac{\psi_{k+1}}{\sqrt{n_{k+1}}} k^2. \tag{34}$$

As a preliminary consideration we check the growth of n_k, ψ_k and γ_k.

Lemma 3.5.

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \gamma_k^3 n_{k+1} = 0, \tag{35}$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\psi^U(n_k)}{\psi_{k+1}} = 1, \tag{36}$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{k^5 n_k}{n_{k+1}} = e^{-5}, \tag{37}$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \gamma_k \sqrt{n_k}\psi_{k+1} = 0. \tag{38}$$

Proof. By $\psi(n) \le \psi^U(n)$ for sufficiently large n, we have

$$\gamma_k^3 n_{k+1} = \frac{\psi_{k+1}^3}{\sqrt{n_{k+1}}} k^6 \le \frac{(2\ln_2 n_{k+1} + 4\ln_3 n_{k+1})^{3/2}}{(k+1)^{5(k+1)/2}} k^6 \to 0 \qquad (k \to \infty)$$

and (35) holds. All of $\psi^U(n_k)$, $\psi^U(n_{k+1})$, $\psi^L(n_k)$, $\psi^L(n_{k+1})$ are of the order

$$\sqrt{2\ln\ln e^{5k\ln k}}(1+o(1)) = \sqrt{2\ln k}(1+o(1)) \tag{39}$$

as $k \to \infty$ and (36) holds by (5). (37) holds because

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{k^{5(k+1)}}{(k+1)^{5(k+1)}} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k+1}\right)^{5(k+1)} = e^{-5}.$$

Then $n_k/n_{k+1} = O(k^{-5})$ and (38) holds by

$$\gamma_k \sqrt{n_k}\psi_{k+1} = \psi_{k+1}^2 k^2 (n_k/n_{k+1})^{1/2} \to 0 \qquad (k \to \infty).$$

□

By (35), we choose k_0 such that $\gamma_k^3(1+e^3)n_{k+1} \le \delta$ for $k \ge k_0$. Then in our formulas in the previous section, in the k-th cycle, we have

$$e^{\gamma_k^3 n} \le e^{\gamma_k^3 n_{k+1}} \le e^{\delta}.$$

Furthermore C_1 in (21) can be taken as

$$C_1 = 2e^{\delta} \exp\left(\frac{(2e-1)(\delta(1+e^3)+\ln 2}{(e-1)^2}\right). \tag{40}$$

We also take k_0 sufficiently large such that left-hand sides of (36), (37) and (38) are within $\pm\delta$ of their respective limits.

For each cycle $[n_k, n_{k+1}]$, $k \ge k_0$, we apply the following capital process to x_n's in the cycle.

$$\mathcal{N}_n^{\gamma_k} = \alpha + \frac{1}{D}(\ln k)\psi_{k+1} e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2}(\alpha - \mathcal{M}_{n-n_k}^{\gamma_k,k}), \qquad \alpha = 1 - 2/e,\ D = \frac{32\sqrt{2\pi}}{\alpha}. \tag{41}$$

Here we gave a specific value of D for definiteness, but from the proof below it will be clear that any sufficiently large D can be used. Since the strategy for $\mathcal{M}_{n-n_k}^{\gamma_k,k}$ is applied only to x_n's in the cycle, $\alpha = \mathcal{N}_{n_k}^{\gamma_k} = \mathcal{M}_0^{\gamma_k}$. Concerning $\mathcal{N}_n^{\gamma_k}$ we prove the following proposition. In its proof we increase k_0 to satisfy all of (45)–(51), if needed.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose that*

$$-\sqrt{n}\psi^U(n) \le S_n \le \sqrt{n}\psi(n), \qquad \forall n \in [n_k, n_{k+1}]. \tag{42}$$

for all sufficiently large k. Then there exists k_0 such that for all $k \ge k_0$

$$\mathcal{N}_n^{\gamma_k} > \frac{\alpha}{2},\ \forall n \in [n_k, n_{k+1}], \tag{43}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_{n_{k+1}}^{\gamma_k} \ge \alpha\left(1 + \frac{1-\delta}{D}(\ln k)\psi_{k+1} e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2}\right). \tag{44}$$

Proof. In our proof, for notational simplicity we write n instead of $n - n_k$.

For proving (43), we use (31) and (32) for $S_n - S_{n_k}$. We want to bound $\mathcal{M}_n^{\gamma_k,k}$ from above. Note that $(\ln k)\psi_{k+1}e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ by (39). Hence the case $S_n \le 0$ is trivial because $\mathcal{M}_n^{\gamma_k,k}$ is bounded from above by (32).

For the case $S_n > 0$, by the term $\dfrac{2}{\ln k}$ on the right-hand side of (31), it suffices to show

$$0 < S_n \le \sqrt{n_k}\psi^U(n_k) + \sqrt{n_k+n}\psi(n_k+n)$$
$$\Rightarrow\ \psi_{k+1}e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2} \times 2e^{\delta}\min\{e^{S_n^2/(2n)}\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}}, e^{\gamma S_n}\} < \frac{D\alpha}{4} - \delta,\ \ \forall\gamma_k \in [k^{-1},\gamma_k],\ \forall n \in [0, n_{k+1}-n_k],$$

for sufficiently large k such that

$$C_1(\ln k)\psi_{k+1}e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2} < \delta. \tag{45}$$

Let

$$c_1 = \frac{9}{(1+2\delta)^2} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1}} - \delta > 0. \tag{46}$$

We distinguish two cases:

$$\text{(a) } n \le \frac{\psi_{k+1}^2}{c_1\gamma^2}, \quad \text{(b) } \frac{\psi_{k+1}^2}{c_1\gamma^2} < n \le n_{k+1} - n_k.$$

For case (a), $\sqrt{n_k}\psi^U(n_k) \le (1+\delta)\sqrt{n_k}\psi_{k+1}$ by (36) for sufficiently large k. Also $\psi(n_k+n) \le \psi(n_{k+1})$. Hence in this case

$$\gamma S_n \le \left((1+\delta)\gamma\sqrt{n_k} + \sqrt{\gamma^2 n_k + \psi_{k+1}^2/c_1}\right)\psi_{k+1}.$$

Then for $\gamma \le \gamma_k$, by (38)

$$\gamma S_n \le \left((1+\delta)\gamma_k\sqrt{n_k} + \sqrt{\gamma_k^2 n_k + \psi_{k+1}^2/c_1}\right)\psi_{k+1} \le \frac{\psi_{k+1}^2}{\sqrt{c_1}}(1+\delta) \tag{47}$$

for sufficiently large k. Since

$$\psi_{k+1}e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2} \times 2e^{\delta}e^{\gamma S_n} \le 2\psi_{k+1}e^{\delta}\exp\left(-\psi_{k+1}^2(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1}} - \delta)\right) \to 0 \qquad (k \to \infty), \tag{48}$$

we have $\mathcal{N}_n^{\gamma_k} > \alpha/2$ uniformly in $\gamma \in [\gamma_k k^{-1}, \gamma_k]$ and in $n \le \psi_{k+1}^2/c_1\gamma^2$.

For case (b), $\psi_{k+1}/\sqrt{c_1} \le \gamma\sqrt{n}$ and $S_n \le ((1+\delta)\sqrt{n_k} + \sqrt{n_k+n})\psi_{k+1}$. Hence

$$\psi_{k+1}e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2} \times 2e^{\delta}e^{S_n^2/(2n)}\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}} \le \psi_{k+1}e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2} \times \frac{2e^{\delta}\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{c_1}}{\psi_{k+1}}\exp\left(\frac{\left((1+\delta)\sqrt{n_k} + \sqrt{n_k+n}\right)^2}{2n}\psi_{k+1}^2\right)$$
$$= 2e^{\delta}\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{c_1}\exp\left(\frac{(1+(1+\delta)^2)n_k + 2(1+\delta)\sqrt{n_k}\sqrt{n_k+n}}{2n}\psi_{k+1}^2\right).$$

For $\gamma \le \gamma_k$

$$\frac{\psi_{k+1}^2}{c_1\gamma^2} < n \;\Rightarrow\; \frac{n_k}{n}\psi_{k+1}^2 < c_1\gamma^2 n_k \le c_1\gamma_k^2 n_k = c_1\frac{n_k}{n_{k+1}}k^4\psi_{k+1}^2.$$

Hence $\psi_{k+1}^2 n_k/n \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Similarly $\sqrt{n_k/k}\psi_{k+1}^2 \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Therefore, for sufficiently large k,

$$\psi_{k+1}e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2} \times 2e^{\delta}e^{S_n^2/(2n)}\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\gamma\sqrt{n}} \le 2e^{2\delta}\sqrt{2\pi}\sqrt{c_1} < \frac{D\alpha}{4} - \delta, \tag{49}$$

with the choice of D in (41) and c_1 in (46). This proves (43).

Now we prove (44). Write $n_k' = n_{k+1} - n_k$. We will show that $\mathcal{M}_{n_k'}^{\gamma_k,k} \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ if

$$S_{n_k'} \le 2\sqrt{n_k}\psi^U(n_k) + \sqrt{n_k'}\psi(n_k') \le 2\sqrt{n_k'}\psi(n_k').$$

Let $y = ue^{-w}$. Then $2/(ek) \le y \le 1$ in the integral (33). As in (16), the quadratic function $y\gamma_k S_{n_k'} - y^2\gamma_k^2 n_k'/2$ is maximized at $y = S_{n_k'}/(\gamma_k n_k')$. Now

$$\frac{S_{n_k'}}{\gamma_k n_k'} \le \frac{2\psi(n_k')}{\gamma_k\sqrt{n_k'}} \le \frac{2\psi(n_k')}{\psi_{k+1}}\sqrt{\frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k'}}\frac{1}{k^2} \le 2(1+\delta)\frac{1}{k^2} < \frac{2}{ek} \tag{50}$$

for sufficiently large k, because $\lim_{k\to\infty} n_{k+1}/n_k' = 1$. Then the integrand in (33) is maximized at $y = ue^{-w} = 2/(ek)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{n_k'}^{\gamma_k,k} &\le 2e^{\delta}\alpha\exp\left(\frac{2}{ek}\gamma_k S_{n_k'} - \left(\frac{2}{ek}\right)^2\gamma_k^2 n_k'/2\right) \le 2e^{\delta}\alpha\exp\left(\frac{4}{ek}\gamma_k\sqrt{n_k'}\psi(n_k') - \frac{2}{e^2k^2}\gamma_k^2 n_k'\right)\\ &\le 2e^{\delta}\alpha\exp\left(\frac{4}{e}k\psi_{k+1}^2\sqrt{\frac{n_k'}{n_{k+1}}} - \frac{2}{e^2}k^2\psi_{k+1}^2\frac{n_k'}{n_{k+1}}\right) \to 0 \quad (k \to 0).\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

□

We assume that by the validity result, Skeptic already employs a strategy forcing $S_n \ge -\sqrt{n}\psi^U(n)$ *a.a.* In addition to this strategy, based on Proposition 3.6, consider the following strategy.

Start with initial capital $\mathcal{K}_0 = \alpha$.
Set $k = k_0$.
Do the followings repeatedly:

1. Apply the strategy in Proposition 3.6 for $n \in [n_k, n_{k+1}]$.
   If (42) holds, then go to 2. Otherwise go to 3.
2. Let $k = k + 1$. Go to 1.
3. Wait until $\exists k'$ such that $-\sqrt{n_{k'}}\psi^U(n_{k'}) \le S_{n_{k'}} \le \sqrt{n_{k'}}\psi(n_{k'})$.
   Set $k = k'$ and go to 1.

Since Skeptic already employs a strategy forcing $S_n \geq -\sqrt{n}\psi^U(n)$ *a.a.*, the lower bound in (42) violated only finite number of times. Hence if $S_n \leq \sqrt{n}\psi(n)$ *a.a.*, then Step 3 is performed only finite number of times. Also when Step 3 is performed, the overshoot of $|x_n| = 1$ does not make Skeptic bankrupt by (43). Now for each iteration of Step 2, Skeptic multiplies his capital at least by

$$1 + \frac{1-\delta}{D}(\ln k)\psi_{k+1}e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1-\delta}{D}\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty}(\ln k)\psi_{k+1}e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2} \leq \prod_{k=k_0}^{\infty}\left(1 + \frac{1-\delta}{D}(\ln k)\psi_{k+1}e^{-\psi_{k+1}^2/2}\right).$$

Since the left-hand side diverges to infinity, the above strategy forces the sharpness.

4 Discussion

In this paper we gave a game-theoretic proof of EFKP-LIL. Our validity proof is very short. Our sharpness proof is elementary, but it is still long. A simpler sharpness proof is desired.

In our sharpness proof we used the change of time scale $\lambda = e^{5k\ln k}$ and formed the cycles $[n_k, n_{k+1}]$ based on this time scale. There is a question whether this scale is the best. Actually we can prove the sharpness based on the change of time scale $\lambda = e^{ck\ln_2 k}$ for large c. Any sparser cycles than $n_k = e^{ck\ln_2 k}$ can be used for proving the sharpness.

It is interesting to consider a generalization of EFKP-LIL to games other than the fair-coin game. In particular the case of self-normalized sums discussed in [7, 3] is also important from game-theoretic viewpoint. Self-normalized sums in game-theoretic probability have been studied in [12].

Another possible extension is that $\psi(n)$ is sequentially given by a third player Forecaster at the beginning of each round of the game. From the game-theoretic viewpoint it is of interest to ask whether Skeptic can force

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\psi(n)}{n}e^{-\psi(n)^2/2} = \infty \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad S_n \geq \sqrt{n}\psi(n) \ \ i.o.$$

References

[1] Z. D. Bai. A theorem of Feller revisited. *The Annals of Probability*, 17(1):385–395, 1989.

[2] I. Berkes, S. Hörmann, and M. Weber. Upper-lower class tests for weighted i.i.d. sequences and martingales. *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, 23:428–446, 2010.

[3] M. Csörgő, B. Szyszkowicz, and Q. Wang. Darling-Erdős theorem for self-normalized sums. *The Annals of Probability*, 31(2):676–692, 2003.

[4] P. Erdős. On the law of the iterated logarithm. *Annals of Mathematics, Second Series*, 43:419–436, 1942.

[5] W. Feller. The general form of the so-called law of the iterated logarithm. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 54:373–402, 1943.

[6] W. Feller. The law of the iterated logarithm for identically distributed random variables. *Annals of Mathematics*, 47:631–638, 1946.

[7] P. Griffin and J. Kuelbs. Some extensions of the LIL via self-normalizations. *The Annals of Probability*, 19(1):380–395, 1991.

[8] K. Itô and H. P. McKean, Jr. *Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974. Second printing, corrected, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 125.

[9] S. Keprta. *Integral Tests for Brownian Motion and Some Related Processes*. PhD thesis, Carleton University, 1997.

[10] F. B. Knight. *Essentials of Brownian Motion and Diffusion*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1981.

[11] M. Kumon, A. Takemura, and K. Takeuchi. Capital process and optimality properties of a Bayesian skeptic in coin-tossing games. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.*, 26(6):1161–1180, 2008.

[12] M. Kumon, A. Takemura, and K. Takeuchi. Sequential optimizing strategy in multi-dimensional bounded forecasting games. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 121(1):155–183, 2011.

[13] P. Lévy. *Théorie de l'Addition des Variables Aléatoires*. Gautier-Villars, Paris, 1937.

[14] K. Miyabe and A. Takemura. Convergence of random series and the rate of convergence of the strong law of large numbers in game-theoretic probability. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 122:1–30, 2012.

[15] K. Miyabe and A. Takemura. The law of the iterated logarithm in game-theoretic probability with quadratic and stronger hedges. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(8):3132–3152, 2013.

[16] I. Petrowsky. Zur ersten Randwertaufgabe der Wärmeleitungsgleichung. *Compositio Mathematica*, 1:383–419, 1935.

[17] P. Révész. *Random Walk in Random and Non-random Environments*. World Scientific, 1990.

[18] G. Shafer and V. Vovk. *Probability and Finance: It's Only a Game!* Wiley, 2001.